





Impact of the Healthcare Professionals and **Patients/Citizens Information on the Spontaneous Report of Suspected Adverse Reactions** Paula SOUSA-FERREIRA, Ana Tereza NERES, Ana Paula MARTINS ufs@ff.ulisboa.pt e http://ufs.ff.ul.pt/ Unidade de Farmacovigilância Setúbal e Santarém Faculdade de Farmácia da Universidade de Lisboa, Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto 1649-003, Lisboa, Portugal





The Spontaneous reporting is one of the main methods used in Pharmacovigilance to establish, in the post-marketing authorization period of a medicinal product, the safety profile of this health product, in a more complete and accurate way. (1)

The Spontaneous reporting system, despite having been established for more than five decades and enable the monitoring of all medicines marketed, throughout their entire life cycle, in large populations and with reduced costs, still has, currently, an extent that is less than desirable. (1)

One of the strategies to increase the reporting of adverse reactions is the educational approach, through the implementation of training and dissemination actions, addressed to healthcare professionals and patients/citizens. (2)

The Setúbal and Santarém Pharmacovigilance Centre (UFS) was established in January 2017 and composes, along with seven more Pharmacovigilance Regional centers, and by the Risk Management Directorate of the Drug (DGRM) coordination, the National Pharmacovigilance System in Portugal. (3) In the last 10 years, the region under the responsibility of the new Pharmacovigilance Centre (UFS) presented an average reporting rate of 71 reports/million inhabitants/year, a value far from the national goal established by the National Competent Authority (INFARMED), of 250 reports/million inhabitants/year, which motivated a great proactivity by this organization, in order to reverse this scenario, through several measures, which included training and dissemination actions.

AIMS

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the impact of training activities for healthcare professionals and patients/ citizens, conducted during the year 2017, by the UFS team in their region.

METHODS

We performed an analysis of the cases reported during the year 2017, coming from the institutions targeted by UFS training actions during the same year, comparing the periods before and after each action, and assessing the weight of this contribution regarding the total number of cases reported in the same period.

RESULTS

The 43 training/dissemination actions developed in 2017 reached 928 individuals of which, 126 were physicians, 91 nurses, 69 pharmacists and 642 were pharmacy technicians, other healthcare professionals, students or citizens. These actions were responsible for 53.4% of all reports submitted to the UFS during 2017, and contributed to the observed increase in the ratio number of reports/million inhabitants /year, from 71 to 148, in the Setúbal and Santarém region.

								given training			
	Training/dissemination actions 2017			Training/dissemination actions 2017			Spontaneous Report distribution according to the given training		■ Institutions/reporters without training		
	Participants Category	Participants Category Number of participants involved		Institution	Actions number		N = 193				
	Physicians	126		Hospitals	10		Institution	Reports number post training		30.60%	Hospitals with training
	Pharmacists	Pharmacists 69		Healthcare centers	6	Hospi	5 21 (10,9%)		30,00%	Healthcare centers with training	
	Nurses	91		Community Pharmacies	15		Healthcare cent	ers 7 (3.6%)		46	5,60%
	Others	642		Senior Universities	5		Community Pharmac				Community Pharmacies with training
	pharmacy technicians, other			Pharmacovigilance Delegates	2				5,70%	Senior Universities with training	
	healthcare professionals, students			Courses			Senior Universit			2,60%	
	or citizens			Health Schools	5		Pharmacovigilance Delega	tes 59 (30,6%)		10,90%	Pharmacovigilance Delegates with
	Total	928		Total	43	1	Τ	tal 103 (53,4%)			training

DISCUSSION

Consistent with the findings of this study, educational approach had previously been recognized by other authors as an important strategy in the growth of Pharmacovigilance systems, namely, Ribeiro-Vaz et al 2016, who reported that in addition to the protocols established with Immunoallergology departments of some hospitals, the training was the only measure with significant results in the increase of the reporting rate in the Northern region of Portugal. (2) The systematic review by Pagotto et al 2013 also indicates that the training activities were responsible for an increase in the number of

CONCLUSIONS

The training sessions represent an excellent strategy for spontaneous reporting dissemination and promotion, among healthcare professionals and patients/citizens, but should not be the Pharmacovigilance System only approach to increase the spontaneous reporting rate.

REFERENCES

1. Härmark L, Van Grootheest AC. Pharmacovigilance: Methods, recent developments and future perspectives. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2008;64(8):743–52.

- 2. Ribeiro-Vaz I, Santos CC, Cruz-Correia R. Promoting adverse drug reaction reporting: comparison of different approaches. Rev Saude Publica. 2016;50:14.
- 3. Unidade Farmacovigilância Setúbal e Santarém [Internet]. Lisboa: UFS Apresentação e Notificação. 2017 [cited 2018 January 15] Available from: http://www.ff.ul.pt/ufs/
- 4. Pagotto C, Varallo F, Mastroianni P. Impact of educational interventions on adverse drug events reporting. Int J Technol Assess Health Care. Cambridge University Press; 2013;29(4):410-7.



Spontaneous Report distribution according to the